

# Procedure for Dealing with Safeguarding Allegations against Adults

|  |                               |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Policy Details: Statutory</b>           |                               | <b>Version No:</b><br>004/2023/2024 |
| <b>Date created:</b>                       | September 2020                |                                     |
| <b>Reviewed by:</b>                        | RMAT Trust Board              |                                     |
| <b>Updated:</b>                            | September 2023                |                                     |
| <b>Ratified by:</b>                        | RMAT Trust Board              |                                     |
| <b>Date of approval:</b>                   | 19 <sup>th</sup> October 2023 |                                     |
| <b>Review period:</b>                      | Annually                      |                                     |
| <b>Next Review Date:</b>                   | Autumn 2024                   |                                     |
| <b>Signed by:</b><br>Trust/Committee Chair | <i>D. Norris</i>              |                                     |

[www.robustmat.essex.sch.uk/our-trust/policies](http://www.robustmat.essex.sch.uk/our-trust/policies)

*This is a model policy/procedure which reflects legislation, any relevant statutory and non-statutory guidance and best practice. The responsibility for setting policy and procedure resides with the Robus Multi Academy Board of Trustees and as such the relevant Board must be satisfied that the content of the policy/procedure suits their requirements prior to its formal adoption.*



## Contents

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <b>Introduction .....</b>                          | <b>3</b> |
| <b>Scope .....</b>                                 | <b>3</b> |
| <b>Roles and Responsibilities .....</b>            | <b>3</b> |
| <b>Procedures .....</b>                            | <b>4</b> |
| <b>Suspensions and alternatives .....</b>          | <b>5</b> |
| <b>Procedures where the harm test is met .....</b> | <b>6</b> |
| <b>Internal Procedures .....</b>                   | <b>6</b> |
| <b>Conclusion of safeguarding cases.....</b>       | <b>7</b> |
| <b>Support for those involved.....</b>             | <b>8</b> |
| <b>Confidentiality .....</b>                       | <b>9</b> |

**Confirmation that the Procedure for Dealing with Safeguarding Allegations against Adults in respect of the ROBUS Multi-Academy Trust has been discussed and formally adopted by the Board of Trustees.**

**The policy was agreed at the Board of Trustees' meeting held on: 19<sup>th</sup> October 2023**

## **1. Introduction**

This Procedure details how safeguarding concerns and allegations in respect of any adults engaged to work in the Multi-Academy Trust will be dealt with. This includes circumstances where the allegation did not take place at the Trust or on Trust premises. "Work" includes on a voluntary basis, including as a trustee or governor.

These procedures are in accordance with the Department for Education statutory guidance document 'Keeping Children Safe in Education'.

The following principles apply:

- all concerns and allegations will be taken seriously;
- all concerns and allegations will be dealt with appropriately and in liaison with relevant parties, even where they relate to a person who is not an employee;
- all concerns and allegations against adults will be dealt with quickly, and in a fair and consistent manner that provides effective protection for the child, and at the same time, supports the person who is the subject of the allegation.

## **2. Scope**

This Procedure applies when there is a concern or allegation in relation to employees (including the Headteacher/CEO), supply and agency staff, volunteers, contractors, and trustees/governors.

The Trust expects full co-operation and participation by third-party employers/agencies in the operation of this Procedure. The Trust will ensure that all third-party employers/agencies are notified of this procedure before allowing any individuals employed by them to start work at the trust.

The procedures do not apply where they relate to any such person who is no longer working at the trust. Allegations against such persons, and historical allegations will be referred to the Police and Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO). The Trust will co-operate fully with relevant agencies in any investigations into these matters.

## **3. Roles and Responsibilities**

It is everyone's responsibility to safeguard children, and everyone has a role to play in identifying concerns, sharing information, taking prompt action and fully co-operating with this Procedure. All adults should make themselves aware of their responsibilities in this regard as set out in the Code of Conduct.

**If anyone has any safeguarding concerns, they must report these immediately, to the academy headteacher or other senior leader or the Designated Safeguarding Lead ("DSL") or Deputy DSL if the academy headteacher is unavailable, or where concerns relate to the academy headteacher or a trustee/governor, to either the Chair to the Trust Board, the Chief Executive Officer or the Chair of Governors, or where concerns relate to the chair to the trust board or chair of governors, to the appropriate Vice Chair or where unavailable the safeguarding governor.**

### Whistleblowing

Where at any point an individual feels unable to raise an issue with the appropriate person set out above, for example due to the sensitivity of the issue, or feels that their genuine concerns are not being addressed, they may refer to the Whistleblowing Policy. This policy sets out the framework for how issues can be raised confidentially internally, and/or if necessary, outside the management structure of the trust to a prescribed

body. For safeguarding and child protection allegations, individuals can refer to the Local Authority Designated Officer for child protection (LADO) ([the Essex LADO can be contacted on 03330 139797 / LADO@essex.gov.uk](#)) or the NSPCC who have a dedicated helpline 0800 028 0285. The line is available from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM, Monday to Friday and email: [help@nspcc.org.uk](mailto:help@nspcc.org.uk).

## **4. Procedures**

In some cases, an allegation will have been reported to, or discovered by, Social Care, the Police or the Local Authority Designated Officer (“LADO”), who will in turn inform the trust (and where appropriate the third-party employer) and will initially lead and direct the investigation. In such cases the trust, and where appropriate the third-party employer, will follow the advice and directions of these agencies as set out in Section 6.

Where a concern or allegation is initially reported to the trust, the matter will be taken seriously and considered with an open mind. In rare cases, where it appears a person may be an immediate risk to children, or there is suggestion of a possible criminal offence, the matter must be reported to the Police by the trust. This should usually be done via the academy headteacher or where unavailable another senior leader or the Designated Safeguarding Lead (“DSL”) or Deputy DSL and advice should also be taken from the LADO. In such cases the trust will wait for advice from the Police/LADO before taking any further action (see Section 6.).

### **Assessment**

Concerns raised will vary from the serious, to relatively low-level issues. The academy headteacher (or Chair of Governors), along with the Designated Safeguard Lead, will make an initial assessment to determine how the matter should be dealt with, ensuring any action is appropriate and proportionate. In many cases this may involve providing additional direction, support, or training. However, in cases where misconduct is suspected, the following steps will be followed.

### **Initial investigation**

The academy headteacher or Chair of Governors where the allegation relates to the headteacher, will themselves, or arrange for an appropriate person to, be the case manager. The case manager will obtain advice and support as necessary; for example from their human resources provider.

In certain circumstances, such as the lack of appropriate resources within the trust or, given the nature or complexity of the allegation, the trust may engage an independent investigator to be the case manager.

### **Third-party/Agency workers**

Where a concern or allegation relates to a person employed by a third party/agency, the case manager will inform, and liaise with the employer/agency. The trust will usually take the lead on the investigation but will invite the employer/agency’s human resource representative or equivalent person to relevant meetings and keep them informed.

The case manager will carry out a brief initial investigation to gather enough information to establish whether the allegation has foundation and whether the information indicates that a person has met the “harm test”, which is that they have:

- behaved in a way that has harmed a child, or may have harmed a child;
- possibly committed a criminal offence against or related to a child;
- behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicates he or she would pose a risk of harm to children.
- behaved or may have behaved in a way that indicates they may not be suitable to work with children

This “harm test” is explained on the Disclosure and Barring service website [GOV.UK](#)

The initial investigation will be carried out immediately and will entail:

- making a detailed written, signed and dated record of the information reported;
- asking the person reporting the concern to immediately provide a written statement which should be signed and dated;
- fact checking to establish that the incident could have happened e.g. was the accused person and child in the place mentioned and making a written and dated record.

Parents will be informed immediately if the child is injured or needs medical treatment.

The case manager will not:

- investigate in great depth or ask leading questions;
- interview the accused, children or any witnesses;
- make assumptions or offer alternative explanations;
- promise confidentiality, although may give assurance that the information will only be shared on a 'need to know' basis.

If the case manager considers the harm test to have been met, Section 6. of these procedures will then apply. In many cases, the harm test will not be met, and internal procedures will be followed as set out in Section 7. of these procedures.

## **5. Suspension and alternatives**

On the basis of the facts available at each stage of these procedures, it may be considered appropriate to remove the accused person from certain roles or contexts or from the trust entirely where:

- there is cause to suspect a child or other children at the trust are at risk of harm;
- the case is so serious that it might be grounds for dismissal;
- allowing the employee to remain at work could hinder the investigatory process; or
- there is a restriction in place such as bail conditions or an interim prohibition order.

The LADO may advise on this matter, but the decision will be made by the trust.

### **Employees**

The case manager will undertake a detailed risk assessment and explore all options to avoid suspension such as redeployment or reallocation of duties will be considered. If suspension is considered necessary, the rationale and justification will be recorded in the risk assessment in addition to the alternatives to suspension that were considered and why they were considered not to be appropriate in the circumstances.

The employee will receive written confirmation of their suspension, including written reasons for the suspension, within one working day. They will also be notified of the support available (see section 9. below).

### **Volunteers**

The case manager will make a judgement, based on the nature of the concern or allegation and the evidence available, about whether it is appropriate to stop the volunteer attending the trust while the investigation is carried out.

### **Third Party/Agency Workers**

The case manager will liaise with the third-party employer/agency to determine whether it is appropriate to redeploy or suspend the supply teacher/contractor, during the investigation. The trust will not decide to cease to use the worker entirely until the investigation is complete and an outcome reached.

### **Trustees/Governors**

Where appropriate, a trustee/governor may be asked to absent themselves from some or all aspects of their functions, or in the most serious cases, and where regulations allow, may be suspended, during an investigation.

All arrangements will be discussed with the person concerned, confirmed in writing, and kept under regular review.

### **Referral to the Disclosure and Barring Service**

There is a legal requirement for schools and colleges to make a referral to the DBS where they remove an individual from regulated activity (or would have removed an individual had they not left), and they believe the individual has:

- engaged in relevant conduct in relation to children and/or adults, and/or
- satisfied the harm test in relation to children and/or vulnerable adults, and/or
- been cautioned or convicted of a relevant (automatic barring either with or without the right to make representations) offence.

## **6. Procedures where the harm test is met**

The case manager will report the allegation to the LADO within one working day. Failure to report an allegation or concern in accordance with procedures is a potential disciplinary matter.

The LADO will liaise with other agencies such as the Police or Children's Social Care as appropriate, to determine next steps and the trust will follow the directions of these agencies.

This may include:

- what, if any, information can be shared with parents and the accused person and at what point;
- provision of further information about child/ren or adults; and
- attendance at a strategy or management planning meeting to share relevant information and plan next steps.

The LADO will advise the trust when the agencies involvement is concluded and the matter is handed back to be dealt with through internal procedures, as set out in Section 6.

### **Criminal cases**

In most cases, internal procedures cannot be applied until the conclusion of police processes. The police should inform the employer and designated officer(s) immediately when a criminal investigation and any subsequent trial is complete, or if it is decided to close an investigation without charge, or not to continue to prosecute the case after the person has been charged. In those circumstances, the designated officer(s) should discuss with the case manager whether any further action, including disciplinary action, is appropriate and, if so, how to proceed. The information provided by the police and/or children's social care services should inform that decision. Where the police are involved, wherever possible the employer should ask the police to obtain consent from the individuals involved to share their statements and evidence for use in the employer disciplinary process.

## **7. Internal Procedures**

Where the harm test is not met and where LADO, Social Care and/or Police involvement is concluded and it is agreed that the trust can follow its internal procedures, the matter will be dealt with in accordance with the Low-Level Concerns policy as set out in the Code of Conduct. This includes, where the case manager makes a judgement that the matters amounts to potential misconduct, the procedures below.

If, at any stage, new information emerges that requires a referral as set out in Section 6, the internal procedures should be held in abeyance and only resumed if agreed with the LADO, children's social care and the Police. Consideration or a review of suspension/alternate arrangements should be also undertaken.

### **Employees**

Where the concern or allegations relates to an employee, the Disciplinary Procedure will be applied where misconduct is suspected. The outcome will be determined and communicated to the employee, and recorded in the child protection records, as set out in Section 8.

### **Volunteers**

Where a concern or allegation relates to a volunteer, the case manager will arrange for an investigation to be carried out. This will involve gathering relevant information and evidence, including speaking to the volunteer.

At the end of the investigation, the outcome will be determined and communicated to the volunteer, and recorded in the child protection records, as set out in Section 8.

Having reached a determination, the case manager will decide whether it is appropriate to allow the individual to continue to volunteer, and if so whether there are any conditions or considerations, such as additional training or supervision.

### **Third party workers, including agency supply teachers and other workers**

In the case of workers who are not employees of the trust, their employer is responsible for applying their internal procedures. However, where necessary the trust will lead in carrying out investigations, as agencies will not have access to children and other staff to collect facts. In any case, the trust will fully co-operate with and provide support as required, to the third-party employer and other agencies. Subject to the outcome of the investigation, the trust may decide to cease to engage the worker.

### **Trustees/Governors**

Where a concern or allegation relates to a trustee/governor, the Complaints against Trustees/Governors Procedure will be applied. The outcome will be determined and communicated to the trustee/governor, and recorded in the child protection records, as set out in Section 8.

## **8. Conclusion of safeguarding cases**

Investigations into allegations will be completed and the outcome recorded, regardless of whether the person involved resigns or otherwise ceases their engagement at the trust prior to the conclusion of the investigation or criminal or internal procedures. The individual will be invited to participate in internal procedures at all stages, but they will proceed even if the person declines to co-operate.

Settlement agreements will **not be used** to resolve employee relations matters relating to safeguarding.

The following definitions will be used when determining and recording the outcome of investigations:

- Substantiated: there is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation;

- Malicious: there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation and there has been a deliberate act to deceive;
- False: there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation;
- Unsubstantiated: there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation. The term, therefore, does not imply guilt or innocence;
- Unfounded: to reflect cases where there is no evidence or proper basis which supports the allegation being made.

### **Records and References**

Allegations which are found to be malicious or false will be removed from personnel records. In all other cases, a clear and comprehensive summary of the allegation, details of how the concern or allegation was followed up and resolved, a note of any actions taken and decisions reached will be recorded and retained on the personnel file of the accused and a copy provided to the individual.

The trust has a duty to report substantiated safeguarding concerns/allegations (including a group of low-level concerns about the same individual) that meet the harm threshold in references. Low-level concerns will only be included in references if they relate to issues which would normally be included in a reference, for example, misconduct or poor performance.

The trust has an obligation to preserve records which contain information about allegations of sexual abuse for the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse (IICSA), for the term of the inquiry. All other records will be retained at least until the accused has reached normal pension age or for a period of 10 years from the date of the allegation if that is longer. Full details can be found in the trust's record retention schedule.

Allegations proven to be false, unsubstantiated, unfounded, or malicious will not be included in any references.

### **Referral to the Disclosure and Barring Service**

A referral to the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS), and where appropriate the Teacher Regulation Agency, will be made (updated) on the conclusion of case where the individual has been removed from working (paid or unpaid) in regulated activity, or would have been removed had they not left.

### **Notifying parents**

In line with the statutory guidance "Keeping Children Safe in Education" parents should be kept informed about the progress of the case, only in relation to their child – no information can be shared regarding the staff member.

### **Return to work**

Where it is decided on the conclusion of a case that a person who has been suspended or placed on alternate duties, can return to work, the case manager will consider how best to facilitate this.

Consideration will be given, in consultation with the person, about what help and support should be given. This may include a phased return or a short-term mentor for support and consideration of how the person's contact with the child or children to whom the allegation related can best be managed if they are still a pupil or student.

### **Reflections and further action**

If an allegation is determined to be false or malicious, the trust will liaise with relevant agencies to determine what, if any, further interventions or action may be necessary in respect of the accuser.

In other cases, the trust will reflect on its practice and procedures to determine whether there are any improvements to be made.



## **9. Support for those involved**

The trust will act to manage and minimise the stress inherent in the allegations process.

- Allegations will be dealt with expeditiously, fairly and thoroughly;
- Individuals will be informed of concerns or allegations as soon as possible and given an explanation of the likely course of action, and updates on progress, unless there is an objection by the children's social care services or the police;
- Employees should contact their trade union representative and have the right of representation by a trade unions representative or work colleague at any formal disciplinary meeting.
- Other individuals should seek appropriate support e.g. citizens advice, their professional body;
- Individuals will be given access to welfare counselling or medical advice where this is appropriate and available. In case of third-party workers, this will be the responsibility of their employer; and
- All individuals subject to alternate work arrangement/suspension will be given a named contact to provide relevant updates regarding events in the workplace and to act as a conduit for information/communication as appropriate. In the case of third-party workers, this will be the responsibility of their employer.

## **10. Confidentiality**

It is essential that strict confidentiality is maintained at all times, by all parties.

It is however a statutory requirement for academies and where applicable their staff, to share relevant information in relation to safeguarding matters and as such the trust will share information with the LADO, police, children's social care, other agencies such as the DBS and the Teaching Regulation Agency, and individuals such as parents/carers, as required and in accordance with its obligations and any regulations, including the Data Protection Act and the Human Rights Act.

The Education Act 2002 introduced reporting restrictions preventing the publication of any material that may lead to the identification of a teacher in a school who has been accused by, or on behalf of, a pupil from the same school (where that identification would identify the teacher as the subject of the allegation). The reporting restrictions apply to everybody until the point that the accused person is charged with an offence, or until the Secretary of State publishes information about an investigation or decision in a disciplinary case arising from the allegation. The reporting restrictions are disapplied if the individual to whom the restrictions apply effectively waives their right to anonymity by going public themselves or by giving their written consent for another to do so or if a judge lifts restrictions in response to a request to do so.